Comparative Healthcare Systems

COURSE DESIGNATOR: LNDN 3245
NUMBER OF CREDITS: 3

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Healthcare systems are having to respond to the number of competing challenges. The pressures of globalisation, aging populations, increasing patient demands, and the rising costs of research and medical treatments are forcing us to look more critically at how healthcare is delivered and devise changes for the future. Changes made to health systems are often based on economic and political rationale and with many countries currently experiencing significant changes to the way in which healthcare systems have historically been funded and delivered.

This course will introduce students to the healthcare system in the UK and the context within which it operates. It will start by looking at the introduction of the National Health System (NHS) in 1948 and take students through the key changes that have taken place right up to the present day. Drawing on a series of cases studies, students will be able to compare the UK model of healthcare with the healthcare system in the USA.

Students will explore a range of key concepts and themes in comparative healthcare from a multidisciplinary perspective. They will also develop critical appraisal skills to assess the quality of evidence used to support developments in healthcare policy and practice and help students to look critically at the role that governmental and non-governmental organisations play in healthcare.

Throughout this course, special attention will be paid to comparisons between the UK, USA, and selected low- and middle-income countries selected by students to allow students to directly relate their learning to their own educational and healthcare setting and contrasting health systems. Emphasis will be placed on the multiple factors that determine health at the individual and population levels. By comparing patterns of health across different demographic groups, immigration status and so on, students will explore a range of different intersections to expand their understanding of impacts of health inequalities on different populations, and how different countries have sought to address these inequalities.

Teaching sessions will complement the clinical, administrative, research learning and practice gained through observational internships in CAPA partner organisations in London.

COURSE OBJECTIVES

The course aims to provide students will a firm grounding in key concepts and themes in comparative healthcare. It adopts a multidisciplinary approach to look at key principles in epidemiology, the way in which healthcare systems are funded and delivered, and health inequality and the social determinants of healthcare. Direct comparisons will be made between the USA and UK to allow students to relate their learning on this course to their own educational and healthcare settings.

REQUIREMENTS & PREREQUISITES

The course is designed for students in the field of health: Nursing, Pre-Med, Public Health, Global Health, Health Administration and other health fields. However, there are no prerequisites and all students interested in this topic are welcome to participate.
LEARNING OUTCOMES

By the end of this course, students should be able to:

a) Develop a deeper understanding of the health systems at the local, regional, and national level
b) Define the key concepts and structures in the field of comparative healthcare and discuss their relevance for the UK and USA (e.g. funding, structure, workforce)
c) Identify the key cultural, historical and political factors that influence the development and focus of local health systems
d) Critically review the evidence to support the policy making process in healthcare in the UK and USA
e) Develop a deeper understanding of the health of the local populations
f) Identify the socio-cultural, political, economic and other factors that influence health outcomes
g) Compare and contrast the health of local population(s) with other settings through the exploration of key health indicators
h) Critically assess the range of health indicators used in two to three disease areas and the extent to which meaningful comparisons can be made within and between countries.
i) Demonstrate the ability to give a clear verbal account to their peers and answer any questions on their work

DEVELOPMENTAL OUTCOMES

Students should demonstrate: responsibility and accountability, independence and interdependence, goal orientation, self-confidence, resilience, appreciation of differences.

METHODOLOGY

The overarching aim is to enable students to apply “theory into practice, and, practice into theory” through a range of different approaches to include: short lectures, group discussions and group work based on lectures, assigned readings, group based reports, essays, reflective diaries and blog posts. The instructor will adopt a broadly constructivist pedagogical approach and look to reinforce existing communities of practice within the student group.

FIELD COMPONENTS

CAPA provides the unique opportunity to learn about the city through direct, guided experience. Participation in field activities for this course is required. You will actively explore the Global City in which you are currently living. Furthermore, you will have the chance to collect useful information that will be an invaluable resource for the essays/papers/projects assigned in this course.

The assigned field components are a listed in the weekly schedule below.

Students will also be encouraged to engage with valuable resources in London that include:
The Royal College of Physicians www.rcplondon.ac.uk
The Wellcome Collection www.wellcomecollection.org
The Kings Fund Centre www.kingsfund.org.uk
School of Oriental and African Studies www.soas.ac.uk
London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine www.lshtm.ac.uk
The British Library www.bl.uk

Students are also strongly encouraged to participate in co-curricular program activities. Relevant “My Global City” events and activities, or other optional activities (such as LSE Public lectures, engage with relevant online outputs such as TEDx lectures etc), will vary from semester to semester.
FINAL EXAM

The final exam consists of short questions to assess the students’ knowledge of comparative health and enable students to draw on their experiences throughout this course of study (2 hours)

GRADING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>93-100</td>
<td>Achievement that is outstanding relative to the level necessary to meet course requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-</td>
<td>90-92</td>
<td>Achievement that is significantly above the level necessary to meet course requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B+</td>
<td>87-89</td>
<td>Achievement that meets the course requirements in every respect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>83-86</td>
<td>Achievement that is worthy of credit even though it fails to meet fully the course requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C+</td>
<td>77-79</td>
<td>Represents failure (or no credit) and signifies that the work was either (1) completed but at a level of achievement that is not worthy of credit or (2) was not completed and there was no agreement between the instructor and the student that the student would be awarded an I.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>73-76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-</td>
<td>70-72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D+</td>
<td>67-69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>60-66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>0-59</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SUMMARY OF HOW GRADES ARE WEIGHTED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment Task</th>
<th>Grade %</th>
<th>Learning Outcomes</th>
<th>Due Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class Participation/Blog Posts</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>a, b, c, e, i</td>
<td>Weekly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral Presentation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 x 10 min. individual presentation</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>a, b, c, g</td>
<td>Week 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 X 20-30 min. group presentation</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>a, b, c, d, i</td>
<td>Week 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field Visit Reports (500 words x2)</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>a, b, c, d, e, f</td>
<td>Weeks 4 &amp; 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research Paper (3000 words)</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>a, b, c, d, e, f</td>
<td>Week 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Exam</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>all</td>
<td>Week 6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ASSESSMENTS

Seminar Participation: 10%
Engagement in seminars will be assessed during each seminar. Active participation in classroom discussion and field studies is an expected component of the course and will enhance students’ understanding of the material for their research and field study papers, presentations and exam. Lecture material and field studies encourage discussion based on students’ observations as well as insights from course materials. Students are expected to have completed the readings prior to each class and to contribute to discussion in an informed manner through relevant comments, questions, and analysis. Part of the participation grade will also be based on reflective blogs in which students will explore own position within health system(s) and roles as agents of change in healthcare. Silent attendance of class will not result in a strong participation grade. It is students’ responsibility to ensure on the day that they are included on the register for classes and field studies.
Grades will be based on the quality of participation in class discussions, such as taking an active role in asking questions, making comments, as well as evidence that required reading has been completed on time.

**Individual Oral Presentation: 10%**
Short, individual in-class presentations of 10 minutes will allow students to investigate key themes in greater detail and share their findings with their peers. These presentations will also give students the opportunity to receive regular formative feedback from peers and their instructor.

**Pair Oral Presentation: 20%**
The students will be split into pairs to produce a presentation of up to 30 minutes (including questions) based on their research into a specific health system, looking at the ways in which healthcare is funded and delivered and to identify key barriers and facilitators to the delivery of healthcare in that setting.

**Field Visit Reports: 10%**
The students will submit two 500-word reports based on their field visits. These reports will enable them to relate the findings from their visits within the broader context of key themes in comparative healthcare and to reflect on their own healthcare settings.

**Research Paper: 20%**
The students will submit one 3,000-word assignment that will draw on all aspects of the module. This will allow students to demonstrate their ability to search the literature and broader resources and produce a critical, cohesive and balanced argument drawing on this research and the prevailing policy context. It will also allow students to reflect on their knowledge of their own healthcare setting and the clinical environment in which they are aiming to work.

**Dress Code**
Flip-flops, sleeveless shirts, bathing trunks, or similar are not allowed in class. Some visits may require business casual attire. Students will be informed in advance of each field component.

**COURSE MATERIALS**

**Required Readings**
Required readings will be provided in Xerox, electronic form, or direct online links via Canvas as indicated in the weekly schedule below. It is imperative that students read set material in advance of the sessions for which they are assigned in order to be able to discuss them in an informed and analytical manner.

**Recommended Reading(s):**


Useful Websites & Online Resources

GapMinder website: www.gapminder.org

The Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) website: http://www.oecd.org

Peterson-Kaiser Health System Tracker : https://www.healthsystemtracker.org

TEDx Programme talks: https://www.ted.com/watch/tedx-talks

Twitter—Instructor-Directed Threads

World Health Organisation: http://www.who.int


SUNY-Oswego Online Library Resources

Students are advised to review assignments and readings. If you do not have access to sufficient additional resources from your home institution to complete the coursework for this class, you may request access to the online library resources of SUNY-Oswego: http://libraryguides.oswego.edu/c.php?g=500670. To access this resource, you must request access during the first week of the program.
## COURSE CONTENT

### UNIT 1

**Class 1: Introduction to Healthcare in the 21st Century**  
Lectures & Group Discussions focusing on:  
- Key social, political and economic factors influencing the design and delivery of healthcare in the 21st Century  
- Key features of healthcare in the UK and USA

**Indicative readings/resources:**  
England: Commonwealth Fund Country Review: [https://international.commonwealthfund.org/countries/england/](https://international.commonwealthfund.org/countries/england/)  
USA: Commonwealth Fund Country Review: [http://international.commonwealthfund.org/countries/united_states/](http://international.commonwealthfund.org/countries/united_states/)  
OECD Data on the United Kingdom: [https://data.oecd.org/united-kingdom.htm](https://data.oecd.org/united-kingdom.htm)  
OECD Data on the United States: [https://data.oecd.org/united-states.htm](https://data.oecd.org/united-states.htm)

### UNIT 2

**Class 2: Prevailing Challenges for Healthcare**  
Lectures & Group Discussions focusing on:  
- Prevailing challenges for healthcare in the UK and USA: health inequalities; migration, maternal and child health and based on student input on what they see as most significant challenges for health and healthcare.

Setting up reflective blogs exploring own position within health system(s) and role as an agent of change in healthcare

**Indicative readings/resources:**  

### UNIT 3

**Class 3: Comparative Health Systems**  
*Individual Presentations: Prevailing Challenges for Healthcare*

Lectures & Group Discussions focusing on:  
- Why are we interested in comparative health? Framing contemporary debate in context of exploring comparative healthcare systems as a way of improving health outcomes in individual systems.  
- What is a health system?  
- Four key areas of a healthcare system: service provision, resource generation, financing, stewardship (WHO,
Indicative readings/resources:


UNIT 4

**Class 4: Key Case Study Countries**

Lectures & Group Discussions focusing on:

- Two case studies: USA and the UK (England)
  - The division of healthcare in each setting (at local and regional level) to ensure clarification of main concepts of terms (for example, “public health” versus “publicly funded healthcare”

Indicative readings/resources:


Preparation for Group Presentations on Case Study Countries

UNIT 5

**Class 5: Key Case Study Countries**

Group Presentations on Case Study Countries

UNIT 6

**Class 6: Health System Efficiency & Quality**

Lectures & Group Discussions focusing on:

- Political and economic drivers for healthcare policy: development of the IHI in the USA and comparable bodies in the UK
- Drivers for concerns over efficiency and quality of health in the USA and UK; failures in trust (e.g. increasing medical treatments to secure HMO payments; system “failures” in the UK— Bristol Royal Infirmary, Francis Report etc.)
UNIT 7

Class 7: Health System Equality of Access—Inequalities of Health & Social Determinants of Health
Lectures & Group Discussions focusing on:
- Exploring the equality of access to health care in the USA and UK; health care as a human right; inequality of health creating a “public health timebomb” (Marmot, 2013)
- Key barriers to health care – public rhetoric and reality of changes to health care as felt by refugees/asylum seekers/immigrants, LGBTQ groups, in HIV/AIDS services, sexual health services and so on.
- Drivers for concerns over inequalities of health care in UK and US using recent examples: MMR

Film Viewing: Michael Moore (2007) “Sicko”

Indicative readings/resources:


WHO 10 facts on health inequalities and their causes: http://www.who.int/features/factfiles/health_inequities/en
WHO Social Determinants of Health Focus: [http://www.who.int/social_determinants/en](http://www.who.int/social_determinants/en)


GapMinder website: [www.gapminder.org](http://www.gapminder.org)


OECD Health system publications: [http://www.oecd.org/els/health-systems/health-publications.htm](http://www.oecd.org/els/health-systems/health-publications.htm)

### UNIT 8

**Class 8: Field Visit Study**  
Guest Speaker: Public Health Doctor experience of working in the UK and LMIC  

*Submission of 500-word Field Study Report*

### UNIT 9

**Class 9: Field Study Visit**  
Visit to a London Medical School and Teaching Hospital  

*Submission of 500-word Field Study Report*

### UNIT 10

**Class 10: The Health of local populations**  
Lectures & Group Discussions focusing on:  
- Comparison of epidemiological data in the UK and USA: prevalent diseases/conditions; health behaviours/risk factors. The socio-cultural, political, economic influence health outcomes.  
- Focus on epidemiology of selected disease areas such as:  
  - Sexually Transmittable Diseases  
  - Obesity  
  - Diabetes/Coronary Health Disease
• Critically assessing the range of health indicators used in a selected disease area to understand the challenges in making meaningful comparisons within and between different populations.

**Indicative readings/resources:**


England: Commonwealth Fund Country Review: [https://international.commonwealthfund.org/countries/england](https://international.commonwealthfund.org/countries/england)

USA: Commonwealth Fund Country Review: [http://international.commonwealthfund.org/countries/united_states](http://international.commonwealthfund.org/countries/united_states)

OECD Data on the United Kingdom: [https://data.oecd.org/united-kingdom.htm](https://data.oecd.org/united-kingdom.htm)

OECD Data on the United States: [https://data.oecd.org/united-states.htm](https://data.oecd.org/united-states.htm)

---

**End of Week 5: Submission of Research Paper**

**UNIT 11**

**Class 11: Comparative policy responses to improve health outcomes**

Lectures & Group Discussions focusing on:

• Comparative policy responses to prevailing health conditions
• Behavioural approaches to health care—movements toward the provision of services for the “deserving” and growth in adoption of nudge theory to reduce inefficiencies
• Unintended consequences of policy responses and service transformations (one example can be drawn from whole systems change in South East London in sexual health services where more accessible services increased attendance and resulted in much higher levels of STDs).
• Consolidation of teaching and learning: strategies to improve health care in home settings
• Students to reflect on how this learning might impact on their own clinical practice in the future

**Indicative readings/resources:**


ATTENDANCE POLICY

Students are expected to be on time and attend all classes while abroad. Many instructors assess both attendance and participation when assigning a final course grade. Attendance alone does not guarantee a positive participation grade; the student should be prepared for class and engage in class discussion. See the on-site syllabus for specific class requirements.

UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

Academic integrity is essential to a positive teaching and learning environment. All students enrolled in University courses are expected to complete coursework responsibilities with fairness and honesty. Failure to do so by seeking unfair advantage over others or misrepresenting someone else’s work as your own can result in disciplinary action. The University Student Conduct Code defines scholastic dishonesty as follows:

SCHOLASTIC DISHONESTY:
Scholastic dishonesty means plagiarizing; cheating on assignments or examinations; engaging in unauthorized collaboration on academic work; taking, acquiring, or using test materials without faculty permission; submitting false or incomplete records of academic achievement; acting alone or in cooperation with another to falsify records or to obtain dishonestly grades, honors, awards, or professional endorsement; altering forging, or misusing a University academic record; or fabricating or falsifying data, research procedures, or data analysis.

Within this course, a student responsible for scholastic dishonesty can be assigned a penalty up to and including an “F” or “N” for the course. If you have any questions regarding the expectations for a specific assignment or exam, ask.

STUDENT CONDUCT:
The University of Minnesota has specific policies concerning student conduct. This information can be found on the Learning Abroad Center website.